Putative structure and function of ORF3 in SARS coronavirus
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Abstract

Based on molecular modeling techniques we constructed a rational 3D model of ORF3 in SARS coronavirus (SARS-CoV). Our studies suggest that the function of ORF3 could be involved in FAD/NAD binding according to its predicted structure and comparison with other structure neighbors. Furthermore, we identified three pairs of non-canonical N–H···π interactions in the structure of ORF3, which can make contributions to the stability of protein structure. These results provide important clues for better understanding of SARS-CoV ORF3 and trying new therapeutic strategies.
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1. Introduction

Sequence analysis of SARS coronavirus genome reveals that it contains five major open reading frame (ORFs) that encode a polymerase, and S, M, E and N proteins like those of other coronavirus. However, the nine potential ORFs are not found in other coronaviruses [1]. Theoretically all these proteins can be used as targets in drug and vaccine design. However, there are some difficulties in understanding the functions of these unknown proteins due to very poor sequence homology with proteins available in the Protein Data Bank.

The 3D jury system [2] utilizes a global network of independent structure prediction servers to detect patterns of structural similarity between diverse models and select the correct fold from a set of borderline predictions. An exciting finding based on such a method [3] is that the mRNA cap-1 methyltransferase function has been assigned to the nsp13 protein of the SARS coronavirus (3D jury score > 100). In this study, we started with meta-server 3D jury system for fold prediction study of ORF3, constructed rational molecular model, hence to understand the potential function of ORF3 in terms of tertiary structure.

2. Materials and methods

The sequence of ORF3 protein in SARS-CoV was downloaded from GenBank (NP_828851) and used for fold prediction by 3D Jury system [2], it is a comprehensive protein structure prediction servers including more than 10 novel fold recognition methods, which made a dramatic impact on the critical assessment of protein structure prediction (CASP-5) in 2002. The proteins with a sufficiently high 3D score were used as templates to construct 3D models of ORF3 using the MODELLER program [4]. The quality of 3D models was evaluated by ProQ program [5] and the best model was used for further analyses. Specifically, in order to get possible information about the function of ORF3, VAST (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Structure/VAST/vastsearch.html), DALI (http://www.ebi.ac.uk/dali/) and CE [6] programs were employed to search the structure neighbors of ORF3 protein. The structural comparison was performed by LGA [7]. Finally, NCI program [8] was used to identify non-canonical interactions in protein structures. The visualization of 3D structure was generated by PROTEINEXPLORER (http://www.proteinexplorer.org).

3. Results and discussion

The 3D Jury system found three significant hits (3D score > 90) which have a similar fold to ORF3 (threading
server PCONS2): 1LVL (Pseudomonas putida lipoamide dehydrogenase, 3D score 102), 3GRS (human glutathione reductase, 3D score 99), 1GES_A (Escherichia coli glutathione reductase, 3D score 95.5). Using 1LVL, 3GRS and 1GES_A as templates the corresponding 3D models for ORF3 were generated and the quality of protein model was evaluated by the ProQ program with two measurements. The results are listed below: 1LVL (ProQ-LG $Z = 2.826$, ProQ-MX $Z = 0.249$), 3GRS (ProQ-LG $Z = 1.498$, ProQ-MX $Z = 0.146$), 1GES (ProQ-LG $Z = 1.601$, ProQ-MX $Z = 0.14$). This means all 3D models of ORF3 based on above templates are ‘correct model’, 1LVL is almost ‘good model’ (the cutoff value of two protein quality measurements is: ProQ-LG $Z > 1.5$ or ProQ-MX $Z > 0.1$ for correct model, and ProQ-LG $Z > 3$ or ProQ-MX $Z > 0.5$ for good model). So we take the 3D model built on template 1LVL as the 3D model of ORF3. The alignment between ORF3 and 1LVL and secondary structure are shown in Table 1. Fig. 1 shows the 3D model of ORF3 built on template 1LVL, which consists of 5 $a$ helices and 6 $b$ sheets. Three trans-membrane regions (34–56, 77–99, and 103–125) predicted by Marra et al. [1] correspond to three helices regions in the alignment: 43–58, 71–98, and 103–129. The additional two helices regions are also existed in the structure: 3–12 and 138–147.

Naturally, a question arises: what information about ORF3’s function we can get from its 3D structure? The above three templates (1LVL, 3GRS and 1GES), which belong a FAD/NAD-linked reductase family, lead us to the speculation that ORF3 may be a protein related to FAD/NAD-binding. This seems consistent with the speculation that ORF3 may encode a protein related to

Table 1
The sequence alignment between ORF3 and 1LVL and the secondary structure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ORF3</th>
<th>MRFRTLQRITAQPVKNASPAVHTATIPQASLPPVWLGIGV--AFLAYQDAKTI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1LVL</td>
<td>--------QGGIQVAGA-RQGPI</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 1. The predicted 3D model of SARS-CoV ORF3 based on template 1LVL (Pseudomonas putida lipoamide dehydrogenase), which consists of 5 $a$ helices and 6 $b$ sheets.

Fig. 2. The superposition of SARS-CoV ORF3 (white) with other lipoamide dehydrogenases: 1OJ7 (lipoamide dehydrogenase from bacterium Neisseria meningitides, blue), 1JEH (lipoamide dehydrogenase from yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae, gray), 1LPF (lipoamide dehydrogenase from bacterium Pseudomonas fluorescens, green), 1EBD (dehydrolipoamide dehydrogenase from bacterium Bacillus stearothermophilus, red), and 1DXL (lipoamide dehydrogenase from pea Pisum sativum, yellow).
ATP-binding [1], because FAD/NAD is generally used as an oxidant to yield ATP [9].

In order to collect as many evidence as possible for such a speculation, we employed VAST, DALI and CE to search the structure neighbors of ORF3. We found that the top hits, except the above templates, focus on the following dehydrogenases (also belong to the FAD/NAD-linked reductase family): 1OJT (lipoamide dehydrogenase from bacterium Neisseria meningitides), 1JEH (lipoamide dehydrogenase from yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae), 1LPF (lipoamide dehydrogenase from bacterium Pseudomonas fluorescens), 1EBD (dehydrolipoamide dehydrogenase from bacterium Bacillus stearothermophilus), and 1DXL (lipoamide dehydrogenase from pea Pisum sativum). The superpositions between these enzymes and ORF3 are shown in Fig. 2. It can be seen from the revised structure alignment (Fig. 3) that there are a number of similar structural patterns showing conservative residues (bold representation). In particular, among them are partially conserved FAD-bind motif (marked as ‘#’) and NAD-binding motif (marked as ‘$’) [10–14]. This suggests that there could be a link between ORF3 and FAD/NAD-binding protein indeed.

Fig. 3. The structure alignment between SARS-CoV ORF3 and other dehydrogenases: 1OJT (lipoamide dehydrogenase from bacterium Neisseria meningitides), 1JEH (lipoamide dehydrogenase from yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae), 1LPF (lipoamide dehydrogenase from bacterium Pseudomonas fluorescens), 1EBD (dehydrolipoamide dehydrogenase from bacterium Bacillus stearothermophilus), and 1DXL (lipoamide dehydrogenase from pea Pisum sativum). The bold representations indicate conservative residues. The FAD-binding motif is marked as ‘#’ and NAD-binding motif marked as ‘$’.
Finally, the non-canonical interactions in ORF3 protein structure were identified by NCI program and the results showed that there are three pairs of main chain–side chain interactions: Tyr160 (donor) and Phe43 (acceptor), Phe43 (donor) and Tyr206 (acceptor), and Ile232 (donor) and Phe231 (acceptor). Among these interactions, Phe43 forms two N–H···π bonds in a sandwich fashion: one donates to Tyr206, and one donated by Tyr160, as existed in human rac1 [15] and SARS-CoV main protease [16]. These non-canonical bindings fix the big helix Phe43 locate to the two loops Tyr160 and Tyr206 locate, hence stabilizes the structure of ORF3 protein (Fig. 4). These results can be used for rational design of mutagenesis experiments and analysis of conservation of interactions at functional sites. In recent years, the non-canonical interactions have been shown to be important for the stability of protein structure [17–19] and ligand recognition [20].
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