High-throughput screening using pseudotyped lentiviral particles: A strategy for the identification of HIV-1 inhibitors in a cell-based assay
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A B S T R A C T
Two decades after its discovery the human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) is still spreading worldwide and killing millions. There are 25 drugs formally approved for HIV currently on the market, but side effects as well as the emergence of HIV strains showing single or multiple resistances to current drug-therapy are causes for concern. Furthermore, these drugs target only 4 steps of the viral cycle, hence the urgent need for new drugs and also new targets. In order to tackle this problem, we have devised a cell-based assay using lentiviral particles to look for post-entry inhibitors of HIV-1. We report here the assay development, validation as well as confirmation of the hits using both wild-type and drug-resistant HIV-1 viruses. The screening was performed on an original library, rich in natural compounds and pure molecules from Traditional Chinese Medicine pharmacopoeia, which had never been screened for anti-HIV activity. The identified hits belong to four chemical sub-families that appear to be all non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors (NNRTIs). Secondary tests with live viruses showed that there was good agreement with pseudotyped particles, confirming the validity of this approach for high-throughput drug screens. This assay will be a useful tool that can be easily adapted to screen for inhibitors of viral entry.

1. Introduction

More than two decades after the identification of human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) as the causative agent of AIDS, the disease remains a huge concern for global public health. In 2007 it was estimated that 33.2 million people worldwide were living with HIV, 2.5 millions had become newly infected and 2.1 millions of patients died of AIDS (UNAIDS/WHO, 2007). Although the HIV prevalence rate is still low, South-East Asia is one of the regions where the HIV/AIDS epidemic is most rapidly growing. AIDS is considered a serious threat to public health and safety in China, and also a strategic issue for social stability, economic growth, national prosperity and security. Furthermore, an increasing portion of patients with HIV cannot use currently approved anti-HIV drugs due to adverse side effects and/or the emergence of HIV strains showing single or multiple resistances to current drug-therapy (Yeni, 2006).

To date, antivirals are the only therapeutic option to manage HIV as the recently publicized failure of a Phase II vaccine trial pushes back the prospects of an effective prophylactic vaccine (Cohen, 2007a,b). Despite 29 commercially available drug preparations (Flexner, 2007), these molecules belong to only seven therapeutic classes and target only 1 cellular and 4 viral proteins: the cellular co-receptor CCR5 (Maraviroc), the surface envelope glycoprotein
gp160 (the peptide Enfuvirtide), reverse transcriptase (10 nucleoside and 3 nucleotide analogs; 3 non-nucleoside analogs), protease (10 peptidomimetic) and integrase (Raltegravir). Due to increasing resistance to existing therapies, HIV drug development is looking for new agents in classes that do not share cross-resistance, as well as for new classes of drugs that would either not be affected by such resistance or would act on new targets (Sension, 2007). Because of resistance, available drugs are no longer used as monotherapy (Pillay et al., 2000) but, rather, in a combination of drugs targeting different steps in the viral life cycle, termed highly active antiretroviral therapy (HAART).

Altogether, the emergence of drug-resistant HIV strains, the important side effects of current drugs and their high cost underscore the importance for the developments of new treatments to fight the AIDS pandemic. The mechanism of HIV replication involves a complex interplay between virus and host cells, from cell attachment to release of newly assembled virions (Sorin and Kalpana, 2006). Therefore, every single step is a potential target for drug discovery (Benassar et al., 2007; Esté and Telenti, 2007; Faustino et al., 2007; Liu et al., 2007; Salzwedel et al., 2007; Zeinalipour-Loizidou et al., 2007).

We have taken advantage of high-throughput screening (HTS) technology using a cell-based assay to look for new inhibitors of post-entry events in HIV infection. Identification of HIV antiviral molecules from natural products has been pursued with success and has been extensively reviewed by De Clercq (2000). The screening was performed on an original library, rich in natural compounds and pure molecules from the Traditional Chinese Medicine (TCM) pharmacopoeia, which had never been screened for anti-HIV activity. Here, we describe an efficient and cost-effective antiviral screening system that utilizes pseudotyped viruses for primary antiretroviral screening.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Cells

MT4 cells were cultured in RPMI 1640 with Glutamax (Invitrogen) supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum (FCS) (Sigma) and penicillin–streptomycin (100 IU/mL, each, Sigma). HeLa cells (P4 and P4C5 clones; Maréchal et al., 1998) were maintained in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM) with Glutamax supplemented with 10% FCS and penicillin–streptomycin. P4 and P4C5 HeLa cells are CD4+ cells carrying an HIV long terminal repeat (LTR) lacZ reporter cassette: they express either CXCRL4 (P4 cells), or CXCRL4 and CCR5 (P4C5 cells) surface co-receptors for the envelope glycoprotein gp160.

2.2. Design and production of pseudotyped virus

The pseudotyped virus was engineered from two plasmids, one coding for envelope, and the second for backbone and reporter gene. The glycoprotein G from Vesicular Stomatitis Virus (VSV-G) was selected as the envelope protein because it allows the infection of a very wide range of cell types from a variety of organisms (Bruns et al., 1993). VSV-G interacts with a phospholipid component of the cell surface membrane and mediates viral entry by membrane fusion (Mastromarino et al., 1987). Furthermore, VSV-G pseudotyped viruses have already been shown to provide high titers (Bruns et al., 1993). Because the target of our screening was HIV-1 replication, the polyprotein sequence from proviral vector pNL4.3-Luc E ′, with env deleted, was used as the backbone and engineered to express the firefly luciferase gene (Connor et al., 1995), which was selected over fluorescent reporters for the larger working range (4-log) and greater sensitivity (Olesen et al., 2000; data not shown).

These virions are valuable reagents for antiviral screening since they allow a reduction in viral RNA replication to be measured by direct enzymatic quantification of luciferase in the cell lysate using a simple add-and-read type of cell-based assay.

Production of these pseudotyped viruses with a single infectious cycle was based on a previously published design (Naldini et al., 1996), by co-transfecting HEK293T cells (“producer cells”) with a plasmid expressing the envelope protein (VSV-G) and a plasmid containing the modified proviral genome of HIV. In the producer cells only the transcript from the proviral plasmid was packaged into the capsid due to the presence of the packaging signal in the plasmid sequence. During the budding process, capsids were enveloped by the VSV-G proteins expressed on the cell surface prior to their release into the medium. A similar procedure was applied to produce two other pseudotypes: a first one in which the VSV-G gene is replaced by the HIV envelope HxB2; and a second one in which the enhanced green fluorescent protein (GFP) was used as the reporter gene instead of luciferase.

2.3. Compounds and library

3′-Azido-3′-deoxothyrimidine (AZT) was purchased from Sigma. Compounds were provided by the National Center for Drug Screening (NCDSS, Shanghai, China) associated with the Shanghai Institute of Materia Medica (SIMM). This national library is composed of more than 100,000 samples, 70% of which are pure and chemically defined compounds, whereas the remaining 30% are extracts from either micro-organisms or TCM formulation. 10% of the pure compounds are from natural sources and 2-3000 are pure compounds from TCM, the largest such collection in the world. The origin of the pure synthetic compounds is either from commercial libraries (ensuring a diversity of templates) or from Chinese chemical laboratories. All pure compounds respect Lipinski rules for drugability. Together, several hundred scaffolds are represented, covering a large part of the chemical space. In this project we decided to screen a sub-library of 48,000 pure compounds that was already formatted in orthogonal cocktail.

2.4. Orthogonal cocktail strategy

Compound library samples were orthogonally pooled as mixtures of 10 compounds per well at 2 μg/mL each, with duplicate representation for each compound. This bidirectional orthogonal pooling strategy allows for greater screening efficiency and throughput for large compound libraries. The pooling was prepared as follows. One hundred plates (96-wells), containing a single compound/well (“source plates”), were laid out in a 10 x 10 grid. Orthogonal cocktails were then made by mixing into one well of the “cocktail plate” each compound from the same well of 10 “source plates” (Fig. 1). Each compound was represented twice in 20 “cocktail plates”, each time with 9 different sister compounds. Therefore, because the mixture were made orthogonally, each compound was present in two orthogonal cocktail wells and, conversely, two orthogonal cocktail wells have only one compound in common. Assuming that the chance to encounter two positive compounds within the same cocktail is negligible, it is postulated that a high activity measured in two orthogonal wells is most likely caused by the one compound in common.

2.5. Primary screening

Test compounds were prepared from initial dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) stocks and plated as described above, 80 cocktails per plate. Low passaged HeLa-P4 cells were then trypsinized, counted and seeded at a final concentration of 104 cells/well. Plates were left for 1 h at room temperature before being placed overnight in a CO2
incubator in order to avoid the edge effect (Lundholt et al., 2003). The following day, samples and controls (AZT) were then added with an automatic liquid handler (FX from Beckman-Coulter). Finally, the pseudotyped virus, thawed and diluted immediately prior to use in cell culture medium, was added under a BSL-2 hood using Hydra (Thermo Fisher Scientific). The final concentration of DMSO in all wells was maintained at 2%. The plates were incubated at 37°C in a humidified CO2 incubator for 48 h. Steady-glow substrate (Promega) was added directly to each well with the FX automatic liquid handler and cell lysis was allowed to proceed in the dark for 20 min. Luciferase activity was measured using the Envision microplate luminometer (PerkinElmer).

2.6. Primary cytotoxicity screening

The cytotoxicity of “active” compounds identified during the primary screening was evaluated by MTT (3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide) assay at the end of the incubation period (Mosmann, 1983). Briefly, HeLa-P4 cells (10^4 cells/well, 50 µL) were plated in 96-well microtiter plates and incubated for 18 h at 37°C (humidified atmosphere, 5% CO2), followed by a second incubation for 48 h in the presence of the test compounds. MTT (20 µL at 5 mg/mL) was added to each well and incubated for 3 h at 37°C after which medium was replaced by 100 µL of DMSO. The plates were placed on a shaker for 10 min at room temperature and the OD550 measured using a SpectraMax340 microplate reader (Molecular Devices) with a reference wavelength at 690 nm.

2.7. Time of addition study

MT4 cells were seeded at 10^4 cells/well in 50 µL of RPMI 1640 supplemented with Glutamax and 10% FCS, left for 1 h at room temperature to avoid the edge effect and placed overnight into incubator at 37°C. The following day, cells were infected with 50 µL of pseudoparticles; each compound as well as AZT was added at a final concentration of 4 µM at different time points and up to 30 h, with t=0 defined as the time of pseudoparticle addition. Sample solutions were kept at 4°C. At 48 h post-infection, cells were lysed with 100 µL of steady-glow luciferase substrate and bioluminescence was measured after further 20 min incubation within the microbeta plate reader (PerkinElmer).

2.8. In silico docking

In silico docking of selected hits in the reverse transcriptase structure crystallized with the NNRTI Nevirapine (PDB accession number 1FKP) was performed with the program ArgusDock 4.0.1 from Mark Thompson and Planaria Software LLC (website). Docking parameters used were as follows: ArgusDock for the docking engine; binding site Bounding Box settings to cover the entire reverse transcriptase protein (grid resolution 0.4 Ang.); calculation type: Dock; Ligand: flexible.

2.9. HIV-1 virus infection

The production and use of wild-type (WT) and mutant HIV have been previously described (Petit et al., 2001). The various drug-resistant strains were derived from NL4.3, a CXCR4-tropic HIV strain (Moore et al., 2004), and were a kind gift of Fabrizio Mammano (Institut Pasteur, Paris) and Elisabeth Dam (INSERM U552, Hôpital Bichat, Paris): NL4.3XCS MDR 151, which is resistant to various nucleoside analogues (Zidovudine (AZT), Stavudine (d4T), Didanosine (ddI); NL4.3XCS 41-215, which is AZT-resistant; NL4.3XCS M184V, which is Lamivudine (3TC)-resistant; NL4.3XCS K103N, which is Nevirapine (NVP)-resistant; and NL4.3XCS 188I-190A, which is highly resistant to NVP (de la Carrière et al., 1999). For single-cycle HIV infection experiments, P4 or P4CS cells carrying an HIV long terminal repeat (LTR) lacZ reporter cassette (1.5 x 10^4 cells/well, in triplicates), were pre-incubated 30 min with the indicated drugs, and then exposed to the different virus stocks. Viral input, quantified as ng of p24 (Sol-Foulon et al., 2007), was either 1 ng or 5 ng of p24/well (equivalent to an MOI of ~0.02 or MOI ~0.1, respectively, see following Section 2.10 for detail on MOI calculation). Cells were briefly centrifuged (5 min at 1200 rpm), maintained in the presence of drugs for 48 h and then β-galactosidase activity was measured in a colorimetric CPRG assay at an OD540 (Eustice et al., 1991).

2.10. Calculation of the MOI

Titration of HIV was performed as described (Schwartz et al., 1995). Briefly, virus stocks were assayed for Gag p24 concentration using a commercial antigen capture ELISA (Du Pont de Nemours-NEN, HIV-1 p24 Core Profile ELISA), according to the Manufacturer’s instructions. Infectivity of viral supernatants was determined using P4 cells. These HeLa-CD4 cells carry the bacterial LacZ gene under the control of the HIV-1 LTR, and cytoplasmic accumulation of β-galactosidase is strictly dependent on the presence of Tat. One day before infection, 1.2 x 10^5 cells/well were seeded in a 24-well plate. The following day, the medium was replaced with 500 µL of diluted virus stock (serial dilutions in culture medium from 100 to 0.1 µL) in the presence of 20 µg/mL of DEAE-Dextran. After 3 h of incubation with the viral samples, 1 mL of fresh medium...
In the absence of pseudotyped particles and in the absence of the drug, respectively.

Maximum (100%) and minimum (0%) values were recorded in compound NC83040 as a function of the drug concentration (expressed as the log- of molarity). The plot shows the percentage inhibition of the luciferase signal by est alphavirus (open circles). Other hits as well as AZT gave similar profiles (data not shown). The drug did not inhibit the replication of the Semliki Forest virus (diamonds; cf. to HxB2, squares) tested to exclude an effect of the VSV-G envelope (diamonds; cf. to HxB2, squares).

**Fig. 4.** Selectivity of inhibition. The specificity of post-entry inhibition of HIV was estimated as the ratio of pseudoparticle type (as mentioned in the Results, Section 3.4). Values were fitted using the Hill equation and standard deviations were calculated from values obtained in quadruplicate from a single experiment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IC50 (nM)</th>
<th>Pseudoparticle type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>VSV-G-HIV(Luc)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC80937</td>
<td>201 ± 64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC83040</td>
<td>105 ± 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC85497</td>
<td>119 ± 44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC86077</td>
<td>202 ± 54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC87463</td>
<td>92 ± 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC88104</td>
<td>207 ± 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AZT</td>
<td>3 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 1**

Estimates for IC50 were obtained using “drc” package (Ritz and Streibig, 2005) for “R” (http://www.R-project.org). Weibull and Logistic regression with 3 or 4 parameters (W3, W4, L3 and L4) were adjusted, and a lack-of-fit test performed, comparing the non-linear regression model to a more general one-way ANOVA model. Non-significant values (p > 0.01) for this test suggest the model provides comparable performance to the one-way ANOVA. In the next step comparisons between nested models were also conducted via ANOVA, with significant values (p < 0.01) pointing evidence toward more complex models. Finally, all adjusted curves were visually inspected for ultimate decision when statistics test do not provide sufficient evidence to favor any model.

Assay quality and robustness were determined during the optimization as well as during the screening by calculation of the statistical parameters Z (and Z’ introduced by Zhang et al. (1999).
3. Results

3.1. Assay development and optimization

In this report, we describe the development, validation and use of pseudotyped lentiviral particles for in vitro cell-based screening of antiviral compounds. Several experimental parameters were found to affect the readout and were optimized including cell type and number, concentrations of DMSO and pseudoparticles, timing of each step (reagent additions, infection/incubation, endpoint measurement), different types of luciferase substrates as well as protocol of compound delivery (Fig. 2).

3.2. Assay automation and validation for HTS

While the development and optimization of the assay were done manually, screening of large number of compounds requires an automated process. During the validation step of the assay on the automated platform we observed an unexpectedly high coefficient of variation between replicates. This variation could be attributed mainly to the step of adding 2 µL of compounds in DMSO to 98 µL of medium. To optimize this step we tested the accuracy of sample delivery with a DMSO solution containing 4-nitro-phenylamine by direct reading of absorbance ($\lambda_{max} = 412$ nm). A significant reduction of the coefficient of variation from 8% to below 2% was achieved by including in the protocol an additional 20 µL washing step to ensure proper recover of the drug from the tip while mixing the sample (data not shown). As the compounds were formatted in 80 cocktails per plate in columns 2–11, controls (DMSO only and AZT in DMSO) were added for the assay to each side (columns 1 and 12) with 96-tips head filled only with these two columns. Therefore, we also checked that this procedure did not affect the reproducibility of dispensing samples (data not shown). The assay was then validated on a representative subset of the library (800 compounds) tested three times. The statistical parameters of the assay indicated that both quality ($Z' = 0.72$, $Z = 0.60$) as well as reproducibility given by the intra-plate and inter-plates coefficients of variation ($CV_{intra} = 2.1\%$, $CV_{inter} = 3.4\%$) were adequate.

3.3. Primary screening

We have used this assay for the screening of a library of 48,000 pure compounds, formatted in orthogonal cocktails. As illustrated in Fig. 3 for one of the six batches of 20 plates of orthogonal cocktails, most compounds did not show any inhibitory activity. A sample was considered positive for inhibitory activity when both values from Y- and X-plates fell below the cut-off (defined as the average ($m$) minus two standard deviations (S.D.): $m-2S.D.$). A total of 63 molecules were confirmed in singlet and identified as active, 32 of which were excluded as toxic by the MTT assay. Therefore, a total of 31 compounds, which showed no cytotoxicity at concentrations as high as 250 µg/mL (range 4–90 µM), represent primary hits (hit rate: 0.06%). Given the inhibitory effect measured for these molecules (Supplementary Materials, Table S1), this hit rate is comparable to that observed by Noah et al. (2007) when they used a cut-off threshold of 50% inhibition.

3.4. Analysis of the hits

To exclude that the observed inhibition was due to a trivial interference with the entry step mediated by VSV-G envelope protein, or with the enzymatic activity of the luciferase reporter gene, we used the same lentiviral backbone pseudotyped with either HxB2 enve-
Fig. 8. Inhibition of live virus replication in cultured cells. Viral replication was monitored at 72 h post-infection (viral input 1 ng) by measuring β-galactosidase activity in a colorimetric CPRG assay either in the presence or absence of drugs tested at three different concentrations: 5 μM (white bars), 1 μM (grey bars) and 0.1 μM (black bars). Six different strains of viruses were used: wild-type NL4.3 (A); and different mutants resistant to the following drugs (B) AZT (NL4.3-XCS 41-215); (C) AZT, d4T, ddI (NL4.3-XCS MDR 151); (D) 3TC (NL4.3 XCS M184V); (E) NVP (NL4.3-XCS K103N) and (F) very resistant to NVP (NL 4.3 XCS 188L-190A). Percentage of inhibition was calculated from values obtained in wells infected in the absence of drugs (no drug), corrected for background noise (non-infected cells, NI). Results are shown as means ± S.D. of three observations.

lope from HIV or containing GFP as the reporter gene, respectively. These two swaps (HIV-HxB2 for VSV-G and GFP for luciferase) did not modify the inhibitory profile, indicating that the hits affected at least one post-entry step of the HIV life cycle up to the translation of reporter gene. Furthermore, these hits were not active against Semliki Forest Virus (SFV, an alphavirus), thus suggesting some degree of specificity (Fig. 4). The calculated IC50 of the drugs in these assays with HIV-HxB2-HIV(Luc), VSV-G-HIV(Luc) and VSV-G-HIV(GFP) was generally in the sub-micromolar range (Table 1). The apparent higher IC50 measured with live wild-type virus compared with HxB2-HIV(Luc) pseudovirus is due to the fact that the virus has several rounds of infection/replication, whereas the
Table 2

Estimation of IC50 obtained by inhibition of live virus. Concentrations (nM) resulting in 50% inhibition of viral infection were derived for different strains of NL4.3 XCS (viral input 1 ng p24, MOI 0.02) in presence of increasing drug concentrations (100 nM, 1 and 5 μM). Values were estimated after fitting the inhibition data with the package “drc”. ND: not determined; R: drug resistance (no inhibition of viral replication up to 5 μM). Results are shown as means ± S.D. of six samples from two independent experiments (triplicate measurements).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IC50 (nM)</th>
<th>Virus strain (drug resistance)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>WT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compounds tested</td>
<td>NC80937</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NC83040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NC85497</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NC86077</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NC87463</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NC88104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AZT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3TC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NVP</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

replication-deficient pseudoparticle, by definition, can only infect once. Furthermore, in the live virus assay, fusion between infected and non-infected cells can be observed; this favors the passage of Tat protein from one cell to the other leading to LTR transactivation. This exponential mechanism could explain why a higher drug concentration is required with live virus. Finally, in order to gain some insight into the specific step that was targeted, we performed time of addition experiments. Our results show that the time course of neutralization followed that induced by AZT, suggesting either an inhibition of the reverse transcriptase or of a concomitant step (Fig. 5).

We next examined the general structure of the hits and identified four sub-families derived from a common template that comprises a first aryl with preferentially electron-withdrawal groups, attached via a linker of variable length and flexibility to another aryl bearing preferentially electron-rich groups (Fig. 6). For the first family, the linker can be described as an heterocycle (mainly, but not only, tetrazole groups) substituted with an electron-rich group (e.g. thioacetanilide) followed by a hydrogen-bond donor in beta. For the second family, the linker is derived from the N’-ethyl thio-urea moiety. The third family can be described as a polycyclic aromatic group (chiefly aryl/heterocycle) linked with an electron-rich group to another frequently substituted aryl group. The fourth family combines the characteristics of all other molecules with no additional specific features. The chemical structure analysis of the inactive compounds showed that both aromatic moieties are required for the activity but the structures are not close enough to infer structure–activity relationships, as in each case more than one potential pharmacophore is different. Nevertheless, this information will be important for further pharmacoochemical development of the lead compounds (Supplementary Materials, Figure S1).

3.5. In silico docking

The general template of all identified hits, with peripheral aromatic rings, showed some resemblance to the structure of known non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors (NNRTIs) (Fig. 6). In order to test the hypothesis that reverse transcriptase was indeed the target, we performed in silico docking of six compounds representative of the four chemical families in the reverse transcriptase structure crystallized with the NNRTI Nevirapine (PDB accession number 1FKP). Our data show that they all dock to the same binding pocket as nevirapine (Fig. 7), whereas all other drugs tested for comparison (including antivirals such as Stavudine, Saquinivir, Acyclovir and Oseltamivir) did not (data not shown). Most type I compounds bear a tetrazole group that is related to the structure of 5CITEP (1-(5-chloroindol-3-yl)-3-hydroxy-3-(2H-tetrazol-5-yl)-propenone) (Goldgur et al., 1999), an inhibitor of the integrase, which was another potential target of our screen. To evaluate this possibility, we performed in silico docking of known integrase inhibitors to the structure of integrase found in Protein Data Bank (1BL3 and 1EXQ). However, this attempt was not successful, thereby preventing further analysis with our hits.

3.6. Inhibitory activity on wild-type and drug-resistant mutant viruses

To demonstrate that the screening assay with pseudotyped lentiviruses was able to identify bona fide inhibitors of HIV replication, we tested the six most potent hits on different HIV strains. Because chemical structures analysis as well as reverse in silico docking suggested that the compounds are non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors, they were tested against wild-type HIV virus (NL4.3 strain) as well as against mutants resistant to either nucleoside inhibitors (AZT, d4T, ddI, 3TC) or non-nucleoside inhibitors (NVP).

Virus infection was measured in the presence of drugs tested at three different concentrations (5, 1 and 0.1 μM) and compared to control values obtained with either non-infected cells or cells infected without drugs (Fig. 8). Depending on the viral strain tested, appropriate control drugs were also added to the experiment. As expected, infection of cells with the wild-type virus resulted in a robust signal that was blocked by the drugs tested in a dose-dependent fashion (Fig. 8A). The mutant viruses showed a different sensitivity to our drugs. Thus, all compounds tested displayed anti-HIV activity against strains resistant to nucleoside antivirals, whereas they were by-and-large ineffective against NVP-resistant viruses (Fig. 8 E and F). For most of these combinations, estimates for IC50 (Table 2 and Supplementary Materials, Figure S1, respectively, for viral input of MOI 0.02 and MOI 0.1) were obtained using the freely downloadable package “drc” for “R”. An illustration of curve fitting with the L4 model is shown for AZT in Supplementary Material (Figure S2). Of note, NC83040 was the most potent against wild-type and NRTI-resistant strains, and NC87463 exhibited a partial inhibition on the K103N nevirapine resistant strain. All IC50 ranged between nanomolar to low micromolar, depending on the viral strain used. None of the tested hits showed a significant inhibition of the highly NVP-resistant strain and, therefore, no IC50 could be derived.

4. Discussion

Pseudotyped particles contain the envelope protein from one virus and the core from another and mimic some behavior of the wild-type viruses (Ma et al., 1999). They have been utilized as con-
venient tools for several applications. If the heterologous envelope is the focus of the study, on the one hand, the involvement of the surface glycoprotein can be investigated, for example studies on the entry mechanism of Ebola (Wool-Lewis and Bates, 1998), Marburg (Chan et al., 2001) or hepatitis C (Codran et al., 2006) viruses, the development of sero-diagnostic tests for avian influenza (Nefkens et al., 2007) or SARS (Fukushi et al., 2006), vaccination (Bukreyev et al., 2006) or the evaluation of entry inhibitors (Chan et al., 2006). If the properties of the particles’ core are the focus of the study, on the other hand, VSV-G envelope has been commonly used for its wide tropism, with well-known applications to gene therapy (Baum et al., 2006; Kim et al., 2000). Thus, a pseudotype-based assay would permit high-throughput screening in a BSL-2 contained platform of new drugs inhibiting entry of pathogens that would otherwise require a BSL-3/4 facility. The validation of this assay is the first necessary step in this direction.

In this study, we have used lentiviral particles pseudotyped with VSV-G to screen the library for compounds that would target the post-entry events, i.e. in this case lentiviral replication. The present results have, therefore a dual output, validating the pseudotype strategy for high-throughput screening in drug discovery and identifying new molecules with antiviral activity against HIV-1. The results obtained with particles pseudotyped with different viral glycoproteins will be described in a separate paper after completion of the relevant screenings. While this manuscript was under revision, an article in Chinese describing a similar pseudoparticle-based assay appeared in PubMed (Cao and Guo, 2008). Their work was on a much smaller scale (only 500 compounds tested), their hits were effective in the micromolar range and the specific target was not mentioned.

The assay is robust, flexible and compatible with medium to high throughput. We have validated it for inhibitors of HIV replication and identified novel hits belonging to four chemical sub-families that appear to be all NNRTIs. Confirmatory tests with live viruses showed that there was good agreement with pseudotyped particles. In addition, we took advantage of naturally occurring viral mutations associated with drug resistance and observed that the efficacy of our hits matched the behavior expected for the therapeutic class they belong to, viz. NNRTIs.

Screening large libraries can be very cumbersome and expensive. The first solution to this problem was to mix the compounds to be tested in pools (usually of 10 molecules). Despite the evident advantage of compound pooling, the results obtained from testing such mixtures necessitate complex deconvolution analysis. An elegant alternative method consists of mixing the compounds according to a matrix-like layout in an orthogonal cocktail, thereby facilitating the identification of the active compounds. The two additional benefits of this strategy are to increase the robustness of the assay by testing each compound in duplicate while considerably reducing the number of plates in each screen. Although a small scale screening of single molecules could have been performed in parallel, we reasoned that the most potent compound would be identified also in a cocktail mix.

Nevertheless, we should mention an intrinsic limitation of the orthogonal cocktail screen is that if several compounds in one well are either active or toxic, it multiplies the pair wise possibilities to trace back and identify the active one. For example, during the screening of one batch, 3 pair-wise XY plates showed a reduction of luciferase signal in same well F8. In order to investigate which compound(s) was (were) responsible for the inhibitory effect, 9 compounds (corresponding to 32) needed to be tested individually. In this case, only one molecule, NC85497, showed true inhibition, whereas 2 were toxic and the remaining 6 did not show any effect (Supplementary Material, Figure S3). Fortunately such occurrence is relatively rare (owing to the limitation of the cocktail to 10 compounds) and all together this strategy still reduces the overall number of wells to be tested, compared to single-compound screening and, therefore cost and time.

The robustness and sensitivity of this cell-based assay was due to two main factors: the cell line used and the selection of the reporter gene. The choice of a cell type is a critical parameter for assay robustness, biological relevance and reproducibility. HeLa cells have been routinely used for HIV studies (Clavel and Charneau, 1994; Morizono and Harada, 1998; Watanabe et al., 2000) and also have the advantage to exhibit a relatively high tolerance to DMSO (up to 5% in our assay conditions). An appropriate readout was another crucial component. Luminescent reporter genes provide highly sensitive, quantitative detection in simple, rapid assay formats. The sensitivity of these chemoluminescent detection methodologies typically is several orders of magnitude greater than that of conventional colorimetric or fluorometric detection methods (Olesen et al., 2000).

Despite the fact that the cell-based assay was designed to identify not only new drugs but also new targets for HIV, all our hits seem to belong to the well-studied class of NNRTIs. When comparing their chemical structures to other NNRTIs described in the literature, compounds from the first family are close to tetra-zole thiocetanilide derivatives published after completion of our screening (Muraglia et al., 2006). These compounds present very good antiviral activity (IC50 at 3–9 nM) even against the K103N mutant, the most frequently occurring NNRTI-resistant strain (Soriano and de Mendoza, 2002), as well as an excellent pharmacokinetic profile in the rat (Muraglia et al., 2006). These results illustrate the good quality of the hits’ chemical structures. Another important output of the screening is the fact that the other three sub-families of the hits present an overall similar structure but yet a diverse chemical template. That will help the future pharmacological development of the compounds, as we can use novel templates with the help of more extensive in silico modeling and structure–activity relationship from the screening results (viz. chemically related compounds with lower or no activity or higher cytotoxicity) as blueprints to derive series through chemical modifications of the chosen lead compounds. Although all steps of the lentiviral reporter system should be equally exposed to our panel of compounds, it is notable that all the compounds with antiviral activity found were inhibitors of the reverse transcriptase. This may simply reflect the compounds present in the library. A systematic bias towards inhibitors of reverse transcriptase (rather than, for example inhibitors of integrase) is however unlikely although this can only be formally excluded in future screens which include known integrase inhibitors in the chemical library.

In summary, we have developed, optimized and validated a high-throughput cell-based assay using pseudotype particles. This assay was successfully used for the screening of HIV-1 inhibitors and can be easily adapted to screen for entry inhibitors using a different surface glycoprotein and an appropriate cell line.
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